Document 89

Filed 09/03/2008

Page 1 of 4

Case 3:07-cv-04578-SI

1	WHEREAS, on May 16, 2008 plaintiff filed his Second Amended Class Action				
2	Complaint for Violations of the Federal Securities Law ("Second Amended Complaint");				
3	WHEREAS, on August 21, 2008 the Court granted in part and denied in part Defendants'				
4	Motion to Dismiss the Second Amended Complaint;				
5	WHEREAS, on August 22, 2008 the Court issued civil pretrial minutes continuing this				
6	matter to November 7, 2008 for a Further Case Management Conference;				
7	WHEREAS, Defendants have filed a Motion to Relate with the Honorable James Ware to				
8	consolidate this matter with the matter <i>In re UTStarcom</i> , <i>Inc. Securities Litigation</i> , Case No. 04-				
9	4908-JW (PVT);				
10	WHEREAS, it is the parties' understanding that the Court wished to suspend activity in				
11	this case until after the next Case Management Conference scheduled for November 7, 2008;				
12	WHEREAS, counsel for plaintiff and defendants have met and conferred and have agreed				
13	that, in order to prevent any confusion or ambiguity regarding pending deadlines, they would				
14	formally stipulate to the extension set forth below for defendants to answer the Second Amended				
15	Complaint;				
16	WHEREAS, the parties therefore stipulate that defendants shall answer the Second				
17	Amended Complaint by November 17, 2008, which is ten (10) days after the Case Management				
18	Conference set for November 7, 2008:				
19	NOW THEREFORE, pursuant to the parties' stipulation and subject to the Court's				
20	approval:				
21	(a) Defendants shall answer the Second Amended Complaint on or before November				
22	17, 2008;				
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

	Case 3:07-cv-04578-SI	Document 89	Filed 09/03/2008	Page 3 of 4		
1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.					
2						
3	Dated: September 3, 2008			GOODRICH & ROSATI		
4			Professional Corpor	ation		
5						
6			By: <u>/s/ BAHRAM S</u> BAHRAM S	SEYEDIN-NOOR EEYEDIN-NOOR		
7			Attorneys for Defen	adants		
8			UTSTARCOM, INC YING WU, MICHA TOY, and FRANCI	C., HONG LIANG LU, AEL SOPHIE, THOMAS S BARTON		
10						
11	Dated: September 3, 2008		FINKELSTEIN, TH	IOMPSON LLP		
12						
13			By: /s/ DONALD I	FNRIGHT		
14			By: <u>/s/ DONALD J</u> DONALD J.	ENRIGHT		
15			Attorneys for Plaint	iff		
16						
17		<u>Ol</u>	<u>RDER</u>			
18	PURSUANT TO THIS STIPULATION, AND GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS SO					
19	ORDERED.					
20						
21   22	Dated:					
23	Dated.		e Honorable Susan Illa nited States District Co			
24		O1	med States District Co	art suage		
25						
26						
27		_				
28	<sup>1</sup> Appearing specially herein without waiving objections to service of process or jurisdiction.					
	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOS	ed] Order Re	-2-			

JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE EXTENSION TO ANSWER THE SAC CASE NO. C-07-4578 SI

1 2 3 4 5	used to file the Joint Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Regarding Extension of Time for  Defendants to Answer Plaintiff's Second Amended Class Action Complaint. I hereby attest that  Donald J. Enright has concurred in this filing.					
6	Dated: September 3, 2008	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation				
7						
8		By: <u>/s/ BAHRAM SEYEDIN-NOOR</u> BAHRAM SEYEDIN-NOOR				
9		Attorneys for Defendants UTSTARCOM, INC., HONG LIANG LU,				
11		YING WU, MICHAEL SOPHIE, THOMAS TOY, and FRANCIS BARTON				
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17   18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						

28